July 27, 2006 Page 1 of 1

Docket No.: SEPP11.001AUS

Please Direct All Correspondence to Customer Number 20995

ISSUE FEE TRANSMITTAL LETTER

plicant

: Sven Lindfors

App. No

: 09/836,674

Filed

April 16, 2001

For

METHOD AND APPARATUS OF GROWING A THIN FILM ONTO A

SUBSTRATE

Art Unit

1722

Class/Sub-Class

117-084000

Examiner

Matthew J. Song

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all marked attachments are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on

July 27, 2006

Rabill. Parale

Rabinder N. Narula, Reg. No. 53,371

MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Enclosed for filing is the Issue Fee for the above-identified application:

- (X) Form PTOL-85.
- (X) Reason for allowance in 1 page.
- (X) A check in the amount of \$1730 is enclosed for the following fees:
 - (X) \$1400 Issue Fee
 - (X) \$300 Publication Fee
 - (X) \$30 Advance Order of 10 Copies
- (X) Return prepaid postcard.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Account No. 11-1410.

Rabinder N. Narula Registration No. 53,371 Attorney of Record Customer No. 20,995 (949) 760-0404

PATENT

SEPP11.001AUS

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant

Sven Lindfors

Appl. No.

09/836,674

Filed

: April 16, 2001

For

METHOD AND APPARATUS OF

GROWING A THIN FILM ONTO

A SUBSTRATE

Examiner

Matthew J. Song

Group Art Unit

1722

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Mail Stop Issue Fee

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Statement of Reasons for Allowance in the Notice of Allowability mailed on April 28, 2006, the Applicant respectfully submits the following comments:

To the extent that the Examiner's paraphrasing of the subject matter of the claims when noting the differences between the claimed invention and Suntola et al. deviates from the actual language of the claims and/or the Examiner recites limitations not found in the claims, the Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Reasons for Allowance. Applicant also notes that the claims are patentable based on the combination of elements recited therein and not on any particular feature. To the extent that the Reasons for Allowance omit claim elements, Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Reasons for Allowance. In particular, Applicant respectfully notes that more than one independent claims, each with a different combination of elements, have been allowed.

Dated: 7-27-06

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

By:

Rabinder N. Narula Registration No. 53,371 Attorney of Record Customer No. 20,995

(949) 760-0404